



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/466,343	06/08/95	LI	Y 325899-449

18N2/0711

ELLIOT M OLSTEIN  
CARELLA BYRNE BAIN GILFILLAN CECCHI  
STEWART & OLSTEIN  
6 BECKER FARM ROAD  
ROSELAND NJ 07068

EXAMINER	
BASHAM, D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1812	19

DATE MAILED: 07/11/97

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

### ADVISORY ACTION

#### ☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) ☒ is extended to run 4 mos or continues to run \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

☐ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 06.12.97 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a) ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e) ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: The function of the native protein is unknown, including its cognate ligand; therefore, the function of a variant having 55% sequence identity to the native protein cannot be known or predicted. Proposed amendments 21 and 24 (and 24 (entry 2)) would precipitate a 112 4th rejection not previously of record.

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☒ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: None  
Claims objected to: None  
Claims rejected: 21-55

However;

☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_

JOHN ULAM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1800

4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Claim 21 does not define the polypeptide encoded by the recited polynucleotide as neither material composition is defined structurally or by function in the context of the prior art.
5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.

☒ Other claim 21 would be allowable if claim 21 referred to the appropriate reference molecule as a limitation (eg, SEQ ID NO. 2)